

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
**FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS**  
**WACO DIVISION**

**UNITED STATES OF AMERICA**

**v.**

**BRADLEY RYAN BAKER**

§  
§  
§  
§  
§

**CRIMINAL NO. W-13-CR-285 ADA**

**REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION**  
**OF THE UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE**

TO: THE HONORABLE ALAN D ALBRIGHT,  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

The undersigned submits this Report and Recommendation to the district judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b) and Rule 1 of Appendix C of the Local Court Rules of the United States District Court for the Western District of Texas, Local Rules for the Assignment of Duties to United States Magistrate Judges. Before the Court is the petition of the United States Probation Office recommending the revocation of the Defendant's term of supervision. The district judge referred the matter to the undersigned for the preparation of a report and recommendation.

**I. PROCEDURAL BACKGROUND**

The Defendant was convicted of Possession of a Firearm by a Convicted Felon in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 922(g)(1) and 924(a)(2). The Court sentenced the Defendant to 100 months in the custody of the U.S. Bureau of Prisons, followed by a three-year term of supervised release. The Defendant was ordered to pay a \$1,000.00 fine (paid) and a \$00.00 special assessment fee (paid). On December 22, 2021, the Defendant was released to supervision. On March 30, 2023, the United States Probation Office filed a Petition for Warrant or Summons for

Offender Under Supervision, alleging the Defendant violated the terms of his supervision and seeking a show-cause hearing as to why the Defendant's supervision should not be revoked. On April 6, 2023, the Probation Office filed an amended petition. The amended petition alleges the Defendant violated the terms of his supervision in the following instances:

**Violation Number 1:** The defendant violated Standard Condition Number 7, in that, on February 24, 2023, the defendant admitted to smoking a CBD joint and using Suboxone that was not prescribed to him.

**Violation Number 2:** The defendant violated Standard Condition Number 3, in that the defendant failed to abide by the rules of the Salvation Army as instructed by USPO Perez.

**Violation Number 3:** The defendant violated Standard Condition Number 6, in that, the defendant did not notify USPO Perez about his change in employment.

**Violation Number 4:** The defendant violated the Special Condition, in that, on April 5, 2023, USPO Perez was notified that the defendant had absconded from the Salvation Army in Waco, Texas.

At the hearing, the Defendant pleaded true to the violations. The Government presented evidence that would support a finding of true, by a preponderance of the evidence, as to the violations.

## **II. FINDINGS OF THE COURT**

1. The Defendant violated the conditions of his supervision as alleged in the petition.
2. The Defendant was competent to make the decision to enter a plea to the allegations.
3. The Defendant had both a factual and rational understanding of the proceedings against him.
4. The Defendant did not suffer from any physical or mental impairment that would affect his ability to fully understand the charges against him or the consequences of his plea.

5. The Defendant has not had any injury that would affect his judgment in entering a plea or that would affect his understanding of the basis, consequences, or effect of his plea.
6. The Defendant was sane and mentally competent to stand trial for these proceedings.
7. The Defendant was sane and mentally competent to assist his attorney in the preparation and conduct of his defense.
8. The Defendant received a copy of the petition naming him, and he either read it or had it read to him.
9. The Defendant understood the petition and the charges alleged against him and had the opportunity to discuss the petition and charges with his attorney.
10. The Defendant understood that he had the right to present evidence and to cross-examine witnesses at the hearing.
11. The Defendant freely, intelligently, and voluntarily entered his plea to the allegations.
12. The Defendant understood his statutory and constitutional rights and desired to waive them.

### **III. RECOMMENDATION**

The undersigned has carefully considered all the arguments and evidence presented by the parties and **RECOMMENDS** that the Defendant's supervised release be revoked and that he be remanded to the custody of the U.S. Marshal for eight months, with no supervised release to follow. The Defendant should receive 13 days' credit for time served since his arrest.

#### IV. WARNINGS

The parties may file objections to this Report and Recommendation. A party filing objections must specifically identify those findings or recommendations to which objections are being made. The district court need not consider frivolous, conclusive, or general objections. *See Battles v. United States Parole Comm'n*, 834 F.2d 419, 421 (5th Cir. 1987).

A party's failure to file written objections to the proposed findings and recommendations contained in this Report within fourteen (14) days after the party is served with a copy of the Report shall bar that party from de novo review by the district judge of the proposed findings and recommendations in the Report and, except upon grounds of plain error, shall bar the party from appellate review of unobjected-to proposed factual findings and legal conclusions accepted by the district judge. *See* 28 U.S.C. 636(b)(1)(C); *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 150-53 (1985); *Douglass v. United Services Automobile Association*, 79 F.3d 1415, 1428-29 (5th Cir. 1996) (en banc).

SIGNED this 18th day of April 2023.

  
JEFFREY C. MANSKE  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE